



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,091	09/16/2003	Shuichi Kojima	16869G-086600US	6188	
20350	7590 05/26/2006	EXAMINER			
	ID AND TOWNSEND AN ARCADERO CENTER	RENNER,	RENNER, CRAIG A		
EIGHTH FL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	plication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/665,091		KOJIMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Craig A. Ren	ner	2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, will apply and will ex e, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time spire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ion to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\sqrt{1}]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 h	March 2006						
		s action is non-	-final					
3)	· 							
٥/۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		.,					
· _	· <u> </u>							
	Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8-16,18,19 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are rejected to.							
·=		hiaak ka waakwiak	:					
8) Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8-16,18,19 and 23-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/665,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

1. Upon further consideration and in light of applicant's amendments/remarks, this

application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I - FIG. 1.

Species II - FIG. 2.

Species III - FIG. 16.

Species IV - FIG. 17.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic with

respect to at least some of the species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Chun-Pok Leung on 24 May 2006 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2627

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Craig A. Renner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2627

CAR